provide the Chairman the following amenities:

(a) Honorarium at Rs. 500 per month from the date he assumed charge, *viz.*, 23rd September 1957;

(b) A free furnished residence;

(c) A touring van for facility of visiting the Centres of activities of the Board in the entire State; and

(d) Conveyance allowance."

(*d*) These are under consideration of Government.

(*e*) It is only the revision of the orders already passed that is under consideration and this requires some examination.

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

Re: Lathi charges on students at Bangalore and Mysore

Mr. SPEAKER.–Hon'ble the member Sri B. K. Nagur and a number of other hon'ble members have given notice of adjournment motions relating to the situation arising out of lathi charges made on students in front of the Vidhana Soudha on the 8th instant. Similar notices have also been received in respect of firings and lathi charges at Mysore yesterday.

There is a long line of rulings not only in the old Mysore State but in every Legislature in India and elsewhere on this question. Where the subject matter of an adjournment motion involves the ordinary administration of law and the maintenance of law and order, such a motion would be inadmissible. Whether it is a lathi charge or police firing, so long as it was undertaken in the maintenance of law and order, it cannot form the subject of an adjournment motion. As an adjournment motion, therefore, the matters raised in the several notices are inadmissible and I have, therefore, to hold that all these notices are not in order.

However, since the matter is one of some importance and would naturally cause concern to all the members in this House, I thought it desirable that this House should have an opportunity to debate the situation. I, therefore, consulted the Hon. Chief Minister and he has agreed to make an appropriate motion under Rule 279. As Hon'ble members are aware, on such motion the House can discuss the matter, but there will be no voting. Hon'ble Chief Minister will be making this motion tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ (ಚನ್ನಗಿರಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ತಾವು ಆಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ವಸತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಕೆಲವು ಹುಡುಗರು ನಾವು ಇರುವ ಜನರರ್ ಹಾಸ್ಟಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಕಡೆ ಕಲ್ಲು ಹೊಡೆದರು. ದೈವ ವಶಾತ್ ನಮಗೆ ತಾಕಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮಾರು 50 ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಬಾಗಿಲು ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಒಳಗೇ ಕುಳಿತು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ, ನಮಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಹುಡುಗರ ಗದಾಟೆ ಬಹಳವಾಗಿದೆ, ಆಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವೇಳೆ ಯಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದೆವು. ನಾವು ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಬರುವ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಥ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ಏನು ಸಹಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಹರ).—ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will answer your question. I have not given the names of members on the request made by them to me.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I want to know whether they are from the Congress Party or from the Opposition.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are doing injustice to your own people because they have asked me not to give out their names.

In the matter of adjournment motion, I am not liable to come to the House and announce it here in every case. I have discussed with the Chief Minister and he expressed his willingness to give time to discuss the matter covered by the adjournment motion tomorrow. That is why and have made announcement in the House. After all, anybody who is interested to know the names can come to my Chamber.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I am asking the parties and not the names.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is for me to decide what to do and what not to do. When the Members have asked me not to disclose their names, I cannot give their names. If the Hon'ble Member wants to know, he may come to my Chamber. After all, it is a matter of adjournment motion and I have already announced that it is not admissible. But, time will be given tomorrow to discuss the matter.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palyam).—I have also given an adjournment motion, but I have not asked not to disclose my name. You were pleased to say that lathi charge was resorted to in the interest of maintenance of law and order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Tomorrow, time will be given and you may have a full say in the matter.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—I have also given notice of an adjournment motion but I have not asked not to disclose my name.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Some members have asked me not to disclose their names.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ). ಪಿ. ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಯವರು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ರುಜುಹಾಕಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I am a responsible member of this House and I would like to know the information.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, you were pleased to rule that the adjournment motions were inadmissible and the Chief Minister is going to move a motion tomorrow. So, all this is unnecessary.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Am I not entitled to know the names of parties that have tabled the adjournment motions, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—(Addressing Sri M Ramappa) This is too much. I will have to ask you to walk out of this House unless you say 'sorry'.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—This is a personal insult. I was only asking for.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—You must say 'sorry'; otherwise please clear out of the House.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I am sorry, Sir, but I have not been understood. My life was involved. The students did not allow me to come to the House. This is a serious situation.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are harping on another subject altogether. After all, we are now at the adjournment motion stage and they have been disallowed.

Now, I will answer the point raised by Sri Kundoor Rudrappa. Some time before I came to the House, Sri Ramappa phoned to me that the Members in the General Hostel could not get out because stones were being thrown at them. That is why, I requested the Chief Minister to make immediate arrangements and send some van so that members could come to the House. I am happy that Government have made this arrangement. We are aware that the situation is a little bit critical and all arrangements will be made for the safety of the Members because the safety of the Members is most important.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—Sir, this matter is agitating the minds of the Members very much. I would request the Government to let us know whether they are in a position to initiate the discussion today itself. I am only making a request, because it is a matter which concerns the students and more so the safety of the members. I do not cast any reflection on the Members making a request to you not to disclose their names. It only shows in what position they find themselves today. So, I request the Government, through you, to initiate the discussion today so that the anxiety that we are having may be removed.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, there is no need to introduce a feeling of unnecessary fear. We have adequate police force to deal with the situation and we are surely capable of maintaining the law and order and safeguard the Members.

So far as the point raised by Sri K. Puttaswamy is concerned, we would have initiated the discussion today alone but we have to get some information. In the absence of information, we will not be doing justice to the subject.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—My Hon'ble friend here was saying that

when he was going near the Central College, his cap was taken out and so many other things have happened. It is better that we discuss itt oday itself.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—My request is also the same.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am aware that there is some general scare in the General Hostel.

MYSORE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (CONDUCT OF BUSINESS AND ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS) BILL, 1958.

(As passed by the Legislative Council).

Motion to consider.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I move :

> "That the Mysore Public Service Commission (Conduct of Business and Additional Functions) Bill, 1958, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

> "That the Mysore Public Service Commission (Conduct of Business and Additional Functions) Bill, 1958, as passed by the Legislative Council be taken into consideration."

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, the Public Service Commission and its functons are defined in Articles 320 and 321 of the Constitution. Hitherto, their business was conducted according to the rules issued by the Governor of the State. Now, according to Article 321, the State Government have powers to enact law to govern the conduct of business of the Public Service Commission and therefore, this enactment has been brought before this House. Secondly, according to Article 321 of the Constitution, we can assign several functions, in addition to holding examinations and recruitment to the State services, to the Public Service Commission. Accordingly, in this Bill we have included two other functions to be transferred to the Public Service Commission, *i.e.*, recruitment to the local bodies and also holding of local service examinations. At present the local service examinations are conducted by a Board appointed by Government. Instead of that, we would like to have it transferred to the Public Service Commission because on the result of those examinations will depend the promotions and other benefits that may accrue to the several Government officers and officials and hence the Government thought it fit to transfer the function of holding examinations to the Public Service Commission and make recommendations to Government. These two additional functions we have given to the Public Service Commission in addition to those that are being enjoyed by them. Now we are creating a separate local self-Government cadre and any appointment to be made to any local body, be it a municipality or a village panchayat or a district development council or a corporation—we do not want to leave it to the whims and fancies of the local bodies themselves. We want to give that responsibility to the Public Service Commission so that there may not be any accusation against the local bodies that the appointments have been made as a matter of distribution of patronage. With these ends in view, we have introduced this Bill. This Bill is passed in the Upper House and therefore I request the Hon'ble Members of this House to take this into consideration.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA (Sidlaghatta).—One clarification. Appointments of what cadre pertaining to the Local Boards will be given to the Public Service Commission ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Except class IV appointments, every other appointment.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palyam).—This Bill aims at regulating the procedure to be adopted by the Public Service Commission in respect of the conduct of business and also entrusting some additional functions in respect of recruitment to the local bodies. Of course, it is welcome. But if we analyse the various provisions of the Bill and compare them with the spirit of Articles 320-323 of the Constitution,